

STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL
GROUNDS FOR REVIEW

FILED
COURT OF APPEALS DIV I
STATE OF WASHINGTON
2014 JUN 16 PM 1:41

STATE OF WASHINGTON)
)
Respondent,)
)
v.)
)
Dante' Urell Piggie)
(your name))
)
Appellant.)

No. 70993-3-I

STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL
GROUNDS FOR REVIEW

I, Dante' Urell Piggie, have received and reviewed the opening brief prepared by my attorney. Summarized below are the additional grounds for review that are not addressed in that brief. I understand the Court will review this Statement of Additional Grounds for Review when my appeal is considered on the merits.

Additional Ground 1

I believe that my right to a fair trial was violated for two (2) immediately obvious reasons. 1.) when Jury #9 was allowed to remain on the Jury panel & sit in judgement of my case. And 2.) when, because of Bee, Jury #9's, stated Demand/position "... I will not discuss my fears in open Court if & as long as Mr. Piggie is allowed to be in the Court room..." my Defense Counsel was not allowed to
* (continued on page two (2))

Additional Ground 2

If there are additional grounds, a brief summary is attached to this statement.

Date: 06/10/14

Signature: Dante' Urell Piggie

Re: additional Grounds for Review
Case No. # 70993-3-I
State v. Dante urell Piggere

pursue a line of questioning designed to find out the reason for, the depth & strength of, or the length of time Jury #9 had been experiencing her alleged "FEAR" of Mr. Piggere. Did it begin before or during voir dire? During testimony? Or later? Who knows, but we do know that I was denied the opportunity to find out & denied the right to the protection from the "...very appearance of impropriety..."

The following is the authority which I believe supports appellants position. Given both the prejudicial statements toward Defendant made by Jury #9 to the court via the Bailiff concerning fears "...I'm afraid of Mr. Piggere..." & her subsequent contradictory one in open court. It rises to either an out right case of perjury and/or a clear violation of Amendment six (6) of the U.S. Constitution. C.J.S Criminal Law §§ 1388 to 1390 and or case law state v. Rempel (1989) (also see McDonough v Greenwood (1984)).

In closing I direct the court to several situations involving Jury #9

RE: Additional Grounds for Review
Case # 70993-3-5
State v. Dante' Urell Piggie

a.) her fond memory of a witness' (Pipkin) brother who had recently graduated from her school.
b.) her attempt to get excused for "medical" reasons
and c.) her obvious ability, & willingness to malign & persecute the appellant.

Thank you for your time, your efforts & your consideration in these matters.

Humbly & Sincerely
Mr. Dante' U. Piggie
06/10/14